Saving Speculative Markets from the Speculators

Vladimir A. Gatchev

Rama Seth (presenter)

Ajai Singh Vishwanatha S. R.

NSE - NYU Stern Initiative on the Study of Indian Capital Markets





December 10, 2020

Motivation

- A large and growing literature shows (some) investors have a preference for assets with lottery-like payoffs:
 - ... a small probability of unusually high returns
 - Originally, Friedman and Savage (1948) and Markowitz (1952)

These preferences affect:

- Investor engagement in financial markets
- Investor trading behavior
- Asset prices
- Expected returns

What if Price Moves are Restricted?

- Strict limits on the moves of asset prices will eliminate lottery-like payoffs
- Which in turn should:
 - Reduce the demand by investors with a preference for such payoffs
 - Reduce asset prices
 - Increase expected returns
- Moreover, lower asset prices may affect firm desire to sell equity to outside investors

Our Study

• Uses a natural experiment to examine these questions

- In 2012, the Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI) imposed price bands on IPO stocks for ten days after listing
 - Pre-regulation, daily returns are unbound
 - Post-regulation, daily returns are bound within:
 - ±5% for smaller IPOs (< INR 2.5 billion ~ USD 35 million)
 - ±20% for larger IPOs (> INR 2.5 billion ~ USD 35 million)

Introduce a 45min pre-market auction on first day of trading

Investors can buy and sell IPO shares before the market opens

Example: Intraday Prices of Two IPOs



Before introduction of price bands

After introduction of price bands

Contributions

- Direct evidence of how changes in the distribution of stock returns affect investor demand and trading behavior
 - Friedman and Savage (1948); Markowitz (1952) and many others
- Effect of investor sentiment on the demand and pricing of IPOs
 Derrien (2005) and Green and Hwang (2012)
- Sentiment and activity in primary equity markets
 - Lee, Shleifer, and Thaler (1991); Loughran, Ritter, and Rydqvist (1994); Ljungqvist, Nanda, and Singh (2006); Santos (2017)
- Ability of regulators to curb speculation by imposing price limits
 - Kim and Rhee (1997) and others

Preview of Main Findings (1)

Comparing pre-regulation to post-regulation IPOs:

- Decline in price variability of IPO stocks on first trading day
 The decline is not temporary; persists over the first month
- Decline in upside potential on the first trading day
- Decline in retail and institutional pre-sale demand
- Decline in net buying by retail investors and an increase in net buying by institutional investors on first day of trading

Preview of Main Findings (2)

Comparing pre-regulation to post-regulation IPOs:

- No change in average underpricing
- Increase in expected returns
- Sharp decline the number of medium-sized IPOs
 - IPOs most affected by the new rules

Examining pre-market auction:

• Retail (institutional) investors use the auction to sell (buy) shares

Main Data

- 393 bookbuilt IPOs on the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) and the National Stock Exchange (NSE). 327 IPOs on the Small & Medium Enterprise (SME) exchange
- **Prime** provides information on:
 - Offer prices, shares offered, subscription and allocation by investor type, number of managers, underwriter reputation, VC backing, firm age
- **BSE** provides information on:
 - Pre-market auction trades by buyer and seller types
 - Intraday trading prices, quantities, buyer and seller types
 - Monthly returns for the 12 months following the IPO
- **Prowess** provides accounting data:
 - Assets, liabilities, profits
 - Daily returns data
- Other FF value factors, Bloomberg, RBI

Empirical Approach

- Propensity score matching to control for variables related to market, firm, and offer characteristics:
 - First stage, a probit regression of whether an IPO was conducted in the post-regulation period
 - Verify matched samples are similar across the set of relevant variables
- Return tests are based on estimates from a four-factor model
 - Market, size, value, and momentum

Matched Sample

Panel B: Matched sample comparisons							
	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t-statistic	p-value	Δx	
Market cap (bill 2017 INR)	142.52	83.07	- 59.46	- 1.86	(0.065)	- 0.199	
Fraction offered (%)	21.00	23.33	2.33	1.59	(0.114)	0.170	
Offerprice (INR)	386.08	358.05	- 28.03	- 0.73	(0.467)	- 0.078	
ROA (%)	7.80	7.78	- 0.02	- 0.02	(0.981)	- 0.003	
Debt-to-assets (%)	68.30	98.80	30.51	1.64	(0.102)	0.176	
Market-to-book	3.20	3.04	- 0.16	- 0.43	(0.665)	- 0.047	
Firm age (years)	20.32	22.49	2.17	1.20	(0.232)	0.129	
VC backed IPO dummy	24.14	20.69	- 3.45	- 0.54	(0.588)	- 0.057	
Reputable lead dummy	75.86	79.31	3.45	0.54	(0.588)	0.057	
Number of managers	3.63	3.37	- 0.26	- 0.70	(0.486)	- 0.075	
S&P CNX Nifty three-month return (%)	1.34	2.63	1.29	0.97	(0.332)	0.104	

Hypothesis 1

- On the first day of trading after an IPO, we expect a lower variability of IPO prices in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect.
- As a corollary, we expect the upside return potential of IPO stocks on the first day of trading to be lower when price bands are in effect, compared to periods when price bands are not in effect.

Findings: Strong support for H1

Hypothesis 1 (continued)

Decline in variability of IPO prices on first day of trading



Hypothesis 1(Contd.)

	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t- statistic	p-value
Standard deviation of 5-minute returns	1.05	0.76	- 0.29	- 3.56	(<0.001)
High price / Open price	1.13	1.06	- 0.08	- 4.91	(<0.001)
Low price / Open price	0.88	0.96	0.07	4.83	(<0.001)
(High price – Low price) / Mid price (%)	25.39	9.78	- 15.61	- 8.49	(<0.001)
High / Open > 1.05 dummy (%)	72.41	43.68	- 28.74	- 3.99	(<0.001)
High / Open > 1.10 dummy (%)	40.23	17.24	- 22.99	- 3.44	(0.001)
High / Open > 1.15 dummy (%)	34.48	11.49	- 22.99	- 3.72	(<0.000)
High / Open > 1.20 dummy (%)	19.54	0.00	- 19.54	- 4.57	(<0.000)

Hypothesis 2

 We expect lower demand by retail investors for IPO stocks in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect

Findings:Strong support for H2

- Subscription multiples of retail investors declined from 9x to 4.7x
- Subscription multiples of institutions also declined from 36.1x to 12.6x
- Subscription multiples of high-net-worth individuals increased from 49x to 75x

Hypothesis 2 (continued)

	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t-statistic	p-value
Times subscribed:		 			
Qualified institutional buyers	36.086 🚃	12.603	-23.483	-4.520	(<0.001)
Retail investors	9.042 🚃	→ 4.678	-4.364	- 3.060	(0.003)
High-net-worth individuals	48.643 💻	➡ 75.437	26.794	1.830	(0.069)
Employees	0.384	0.208	-0.176	-2.270	(0.024)
Existing shareholders	0.038	0.171	0.133	0.920	(0.357)
All investors	28.613	18.970	-9.643	- 2.080	(0.039)
All investors	100.000	100.000	0.000	0.000	(1.000)

Hypothesis 3

- In the IPO aftermarket on the first day of trading, we expect less net buying by retail investors in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect.
- As a corollary, we expect more net buying by institutional investors in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect.

Findings: Strong support for H3

Hypothesis 3 (continued)

Panel B: Buy and sell volume, percent by investor type						
	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t-statistic	p-value	
Buy volume (% of total)						
Institutional investors	8.502	24.194	15.692	5.520	(<0.001)	
Individual investors	50.239	36.003	-14.236	-6.800	(<0.001)	
Non-individual investors	40.841	34.499	-6.342	-2.330	(0.021)	
Other	0.419	5.304	4.885	2.250	(0.025)	
Sell volume (% of total)						
Institutional investors	16.156	14.911	-1.245	-0.430	(0.670)	
Individual investors	49.059	52.056	2.997	1.370	(0.171)	
Non-individual investors	34.298	28.152	-6.146	-2.260	(0.025)	
Other	0.487	4.881	4.394	2.070	(0.040)	
Difference (% of total)						
Institutional investors	7.655	-9.282	-16.937	-4.270	(<0.001)	
Individual investors	-1.180 💻	➡ 16.053	17.233	7.510	(<0.001))	
Non-individual investors	-6.543	-6.347	0.196	0.060	(0.953)	
Other	0.068	-0.423	-0.491	-1.210	(0.227)	
	1	r 				

Hypothesis 4A

 We expect lower first-day IPO returns in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect

Findings:

We do not find significant differences in IPO underpricing between the two periods

Hypothesis 4B

 We expect lower market prices, and thus higher subsequent returns, of freshly listed IPO stocks in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect

Findings: Strong support for H 4B

- Pre-regulation, IPO stocks deliver a monthly alpha of -1.2% over the first year after IPO
- Post-regulation, IPO stocks deliver a monthly alpha of +1.5% over the first year after IPO
- Consistent with an increase in expected return post regulation

Hypothesis 4B (continued)

 $R_{p,t} - R_{rf} = \alpha + \beta_{MKT} \left(R_{MKT,t} - R_{rf} \right) + \beta_{SMB} R_{SMB,t} + \beta_{HML} R_{HML,t} + \beta_{MOM} R_{MOM,t} + u_{i,t}$

	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference
a	-0.012**	0.015*	0.027***
	(-2.577)	(1.736)	(2.802)
Bmrt	1.033***	1.127***	0.094
	(15.926)	(4.930)	(0.453)
вямв	0.842***	0.507**	-0.335
	(6.890)	(2.243)	(-1.329)
Вниц	0.296***	0.060	-0.236
	(3.324)	(0.360)	(-1.269)
Вмом	-0.194***	-0.115	0.079
	(-2.666)	(-0.653)	(0.446)
Observations	82	80	
Adjusted R-squared (%)	86.88	30.33	

Hypothesis 5

For IPOs most affected by the new price band rules, we expect fewer IPOs in periods when price bands are in effect, relative to periods when price bands are not in effect

Findings:

- Pre-Regulation IPO % age below INR 2.5 Billion = 71%
- Post-Regulation IPO % age below INR 2.5 Billion = 21%
- Not explained by the active IPO market of Small and Medium Enterprises

Hypothesis 5 (continued)

Percent IPOs with proceeds below 2.5 billion INR



Hypothesis 5 (continued)

Kernel density of IPO proceeds by exchange



Conclusion

- Price restrictions on IPO stocks reduced price variability and the shortterm upside potential for investors, limiting their ability to gamble
- Leading to a significant decline in the subscription rate and the net buying by retail investors
- No significant change in IPO underpricing
- An increase in expected returns
- A disappearance of medium-sized IPOs in the Indian equity markets
 - IPOs most affected by the new rules on the main exchanges
 - Not picked up by SME

Reduced participation of speculative investors likely leads to a significant increase in the firm's cost of capital and a possible reduction in the incentives of firms to sell their equity to public investors

REFERENCE SLIDES

Why Initial Public Offerings?

IPOs are particularly suited for our analysis

IPO prices are highly volatile in the initial days of trading

- Reilly (1987) and Asquith, Jones, and Kieschnick (1998)
- Also noted by SEBI when introducing the price limits
- ... and verified within our sample

Green and Hwang (2012) point out that IPO stocks in the initial days of trading are attractive to investors with a preference for lottery-like payoffs

Selected Literature

- Limited availability of public information resulting in price variability of IPOs:
 - Miller and Reilly (1987) and Asquith, Jones and Kieschnick (1998)
- Investor Demand for assets delivering high payoffs but low profitability:
 - Green and Hwang (2012)
 - Kumar (2009)

Price Discovery under new regulations



Regulatory settings (SEBI Ruling)

- In response to high volatility and price movements on initial days of trading, SEBI passed a norm for predetermined IPO price-bands for ten trading days post listing.
- The daily returns are bound within ±5% for IPOs below INR 2.5 Billion and within ±20% for IPOs above INR 2.5 Billion.

In order to facilitate price discovery:

- A Pre-Trading Session is conducted as a call auction for 60 minutes
- Trade orders are entered starting 9:00 AM
- The process is stopped randomly between 9:44 AM and 9;45 AM
- Equilibrium prices are determined between 9:45 AM and 9:55 AM
- 9:55 AM to 10:00 AM is for ensuring a timely start
- Trading session commences at 10:00 AM with the open price equal to the equilibrium price of the pre-trading session

Variables Used



Sources of Data



Hypotheses

Under the price band regulations (relative to periods when price bands are not in effect):

- 1. On the first day of trading after an IPO, a lower variation in IPO prices are expected
- 2. Lower demand of IPO stocks among retail investors is expected
- 3. Less net buying by retail investors is expected
- 4A.Lower first day IPO returns are expected
- 4B. Higher subsequent returns are expected for freshly listed IPO Stocks
- 5. Fewer IPOs are expected

Variables used and their characteristics

Variable	Mean	Std. dev.	1 st quartile	Median	3 rd quartile
Market cap (bill 2017 INR)	55.49	129.25	4.50	12.20	39.01
Fraction offered (%)	26.40	11.18	18.18	25.37	32.35
Offer amount (bill 2017 INR)	8.76	17.77	1.38	2.92	7.68
Offer price (INR)	249.35	228.94	91.00	170.00	320.00
ROA (%)	7.56	7.00	3.01	6.71	10.63
Debt-to-assets (%)	84.35	125.96	12.19	41.30	84.04
Market-to-book	2.38	2.05	1.19	1.69	2.77
Firm age (years)	24.75	13.75	17.00	23.00	28.00
VC backed IPO dummy	0.12	0.32	0.00	0.00	0.00
Reputable lead dummy	0.55	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Number of managers	2.46	1.75	1.00	2.00	3.00
S&P CNX Nifty 3-month return (%)	4.30	10.50	- 3.40	4.00	12.30

Price Variability(steps)



Testing the First Hypothesis

Probit Regression Results

Dependent variable is whether an IPO	was conducted post-regulation
Intercept	- 0.433
	(- 0.158)
Market cap (2017 INR, log)	- 0.143
	(- 1.200)
Fraction offered (%)	0.023*
	(1.927)
Offer price (INR, log)	0.575***
	(4.671)
ROA (%)	- 0.008
	(- 0.605)
Debt-to-assets (%)	0.000
	(0.086)
Market-to-book	0.090
	(1.503)
Firm age (years, log)	- 0.538***
	(- 2.917)
VC backed IPO dummy	0.806***
	(3.502)
Reputable lead dummy	0.485**
	(2.104)
Number of managers log)	0.773***
	(3.703)
S&P CNX Nifty three-month return (%)	- 0.017**
	(- 1.973)
Observations	393
Pseudo R-squared (%)	29.48

Testing the first hypothesis (additional)

- To examine this possibility of subsequent volatility spillover effects:
 - we calculate the cross-sectional standard deviations of daily returns over the first 30 trading days in the post-IPO period.
 - Some increase in return volatility on the 11th trading day is observed after the price bands are removed but the increase in volatility is relatively small and short-lived as volatility declines again
 - Uncertainty about the IPO firm has also declined and hence, opportunities for speculative trading have also decline
 Standard deviation of daily





Investor Trading Behavior

Trades per minute=502

Shares Traded/Shares Offered per Minute =0.438%

Pre-Regulation

Shares Traded/Shares outstanding per minute=0.1%

Post-Regulation Trades per minute=247

Shares Traded/Shares Offered per Minute=0.05%

Shares Traded/Shares outstanding per minute=0.01%

Testing the third hypothesis

Panel A: Overall trading activity					
	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t-statistic	p-value
Number of trades per minute	502.540	246.850	- 255.690	- 5.530	(<0.001)
Shares traded / shares offered per minute (%)	0.438	0.051	- 0.387	- 9.350	(<0.001)
Shares traded / shares outstanding per minute (%)	0.107	0.011	- 0.096	- 7.080	(<0.001)

Investor Trading Behavior

Institutional Investors post-regulation

Non-Individual Investors post-regulation

Net Selling stable around 6.4%

Buying volume has declined from 41% to 34.5%

Selling Volume has declined from 34.2% to 28.1%

Buying volume has increased from 8.5% to 24.2% Selling volume is stable at 15% Net Selling 7.7% to Net Buying 9.3%

Testing the Third Hypothesis





\$\$

Individual investors incr eased their propensi ty to sell their IPO allocati ons immediately aft er the IPO

Individual Investors post-regulation

Buying volume has declined from 50.2% to 36% Selling volume has increased from 47.1% to 52.1% Stable net position to Net Selling 16.3%

Other Investors post-regulation

Buying volume increased from 0.4% to 5.3% Selling volume increased from 0.5% to 4.9% Net Buying 0.07% to Net Selling 0.42%

Testing the third hypothesis (Contd.)

Institutional Investors post-regulation:

- Buying volume has increased from 8.5% to 24.2%
- Selling volume is stable at 15%
- Net Selling 7.7% to Net Buying 9.3%

Individual Investors post-regulation:

- Buying volume has declined from 50.2% to 36%
- Selling volume has increased from 47.1% to 52.1%
- Stable net position to Net Selling 16.3%

Non-Individual Investors post-regulation:

- Buying volume has declined from 41% to 34.5%
- Selling Volume has declined from 34.2% to 28.1%
- Net Selling stable around 6.4%

Other Investors post-regulation:

- Buying volume increased from 0.4% to 5.3%
- Selling volume increased from 0.5% to 4.9%
- Net Buying 0.07% to Net Selling 0.42%

Testing the fourth hypothesis

	Pre- regulation	Post- regulation	Difference	t-statistic	p-value
Unmatched samples					
Open price / Offer price – 1 (%)	15.041	11.528	- 3.513	- 1.358	(0.175)
Close price / Offer price – 1 (%)	19.511	12.483	- 7.028	- 1.522	(0.129)
Matched samples					
Open price / Offer price – 1 (%)	14.205	10.900	- 3.305	- 1.080	(0.282)
Close price / Offer price – 1 (%)	12.714	11.956	- 0.758	- 0.190	(0.852)



First Day IPO Returns





One-Year Returns



Impact on size of IPOs

Testing the Fifth Hypothesis SME Exchange was highly active 327 IPOs over the period of 2012-2017.

Firms face reduced valuations post-IPO

Hence, they choose to remain private

Significant Drop in Medium-Sized IPOs

Pre-Regulation IPO % age below INR 2.5 Billion=71% Post-Regulation IPO % age below INR 2.5 Billion= 21%

Hypothesis 5(Contd.)

Period	All IPOs	IPOs (proceeds < INR 2.5bill)	IPOs (proceeds ≥ INR 2.5bill)	Percent IPOs (proceeds < INR 2.5bill)
2006- 2007	143	107	36	75%
2008- 2009	52	36	16	69%
2010- 2011	102	68	34	67%
2012- 2013	14	8	6	57%
2014- 2015	25	6	19	24%
2016- 2017	57	6	51	11%

- Post regulation, there is a sharp decline in IPOs raising below INR 2.5 billion and listing on the main exchanges.
- A sample of IPOs that listed on the SME exchange over the 2012-2017 period. With 327 IPOs, the SME exchange was highly active over that period. All these IPOs raised proceeds lower than INR 2.5 billion. Thus, there is no shortage of relatively smaller firms willing to go public in more

Results

