

December 27, 2024

To

National Stock Exchange of India Ltd

Exchange Plaza, 5th Floor,
Plot No. C / 1, G Block, Bandra - Kurla Complex,
Bandra (E), Mumbai – 400051, Maharashtra, India.

(NSE Symbol: PHANTOMFX)

ISIN: INE0MLZ01019

Subject: Intimation under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosures Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir / Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 read with Schedule III Part A of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements), Regulations, 2015, we wish to inform you that the Company has an update on the cumulative contracts that stand worth Rs. 27.00 Crore.

The disclosure as required under Schedule III of the SEBI LODR Regulations read with SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated 13th July, 2023 is attached herewith as Annexure A.

Kindly take the above intimation on record and this is for your information.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

For **Phantom Digital Effects Limited**

Poornima Raghu
Company Secretary

Annexure A

**Disclosure in terms of Regulations 30 of SEBI Listing Regulations read with SEBI Circular
No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD 1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated 13th July, 2023**

S.No	Particulars	Details
1	Name of the entity awarding the Order(s)/contract(s)	Domestic & International clients
2	Significant terms and conditions of order(s)/contract(s) awarded in brief	To provide VFX Services
3	Whether order(s) / contract(s) have been awarded by domestic/ international entity;	Domestic & International
4	Nature of order(s) / contract(s)	Services of 3D/2D/RPM
5	Whether domestic or international	Domestic & International
6	Time period by which the order(s)/contract(s) is to be executed	₹20 Crore within 2024-25 & ₹7 Crore in the subsequent months
7	Broad consideration or size of the order(s)/contract(s);	Rs. 27.00 Crore
8	Whether the promoter/ promoter group / group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof	No
9	Whether the order(s)/contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at "arm's length".	No