



October 06, 2026

To,

National Stock Exchange of India Limited
"Exchange Plaza"
Bandra-Kurla Complex, Bandra (East)
Mumbai - 400051

BSE Limited
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers
Dalal Street
Mumbai - 400001

Scrip Symbol: IRMENERGY

Scrip Code: 544004

Sub: Newspaper Publication – Notice of the hearing of the petition seeking sanction to the Scheme of Amalgamation of Enertech Distribution Management Private Limited with IRM Energy Limited and their respective shareholders

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, please find enclosed herewith the newspaper advertisements published in *The Indian Express* (All India English Edition) and *The Sandesh* (Ahmedabad Edition) on October 06, 2026, in relation to the notice of hearing of the petition seeking sanction to the Scheme of Amalgamation of Enertech Distribution Management Private Limited with IRM Energy Limited and their respective shareholders, pursuant to the order dated September 24, 2026, passed by the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad Bench.

You are requested to take the same on record.

Thanking you.

Yours sincerely,

For, IRM Energy Limited

Akshit
Nandkishor
Soni

Digitally signed by
Akshit Nandkishor Soni
Date: 2026.10.06
18:04:03 +05'30'

Akshit Soni
Company Secretary &
Compliance Office

IRM ENERGY LIMITED

Registered Office : 4th Floor, 8th Block, Magnet Corporate Park, Near Sola Bridge, S.G. Highway, Thaltej, Ahmedabad, Gujarat-380054, India

Email : info@irmenergy.com | **Phone :** 079-49031500 | **Website :** www.irmenergy.com | **CIN :** L40100GJ2015PLC085213

HC asks EC: If citizens are being removed, what is purpose of SIR?

Bombay HC is hearing petition against deletion of 6 names of a Goa family

Pavneet Singh Chadha
Panaji, October 5

STATING THAT the Election Commission has an obligation to ensure all citizens are on the electoral rolls, the High Court of Bombay at Goa on Monday asked what was the purpose of “this entire SIR process” if citizens are being removed from the voters’ list.

“If citizens are excluded, what will we do with SIR?” the HC asked.

Hearing a petition where names of six members of a family had been deleted from the electoral rolls after their Form 8 applications for a change of address were rejected, the HC said the voter is facing “a Catch-22 situation” in

this matter.

A division bench of Justices Amit S Jamsandekar and Valmiki Menezes said, “If a gentleman comes and says ‘I have shifted, I am giving you [ECI] Form 8 [for change of address]’. You cannot tell him ‘I will not hear you’...You can’t do that... because the roll that is being referred to in Rule 21 [Registration of Electors Rules, 1960] is the existing roll, not the draft roll.”

It said, “Everything must be seen qua the existing roll, otherwise the voter has absolutely no way out of this... look at this complete Catch-22 situation before him. If he gives Form 6, it is a false declaration. He gives Form 8...you say ‘I cannot accept it and you must give the enumeration’. He says ‘I

don’t want to give the enumeration’. But [Rule] 21 says you have to hear him before removing his name... not from the draft, from the original roll.”

The bench said the ECI has an obligation to ensure all the citizens are on the list. “If citizens are excluded, what will we do with SIR? If citizens are being removed from the list, then what is the purpose of this entire SIR process?”, it asked.

The petitioners — Paresh Salgaonkar and five members of his family — had moved to a new house in the same Santa Cruz Assembly constituency eight years ago and were instructed by the booth-level officer (BLO) to submit Form 8 for change of address.

The petitioners submitted that the Assistant Electoral Registration Officer informed them that their EPICs (Electors Photo Identity Cards) had been deleted from the rolls and con-

sequently, their Form 8 applications could not be processed. The only recourse available was to submit Form 6 (which is for new voters) since there was no option in ERONET for reviewing or restoring the deleted EPICs.

‘No voter should sign a false declaration’

“...in the enumeration form, the declarations are such that I have to declare in a pre-filled form for a ward, where I used to reside...I have to make a declaration that please include me in that same place, and I state that I am not there in any other place. How will a person make such a declaration when he knows he is in another place? The moment he makes such a declaration, he is open to prosecution,” the court said.

“We are asking this. Why will he sign this? This is a false statement...Why should he give a

false declaration? No voter should sign this,” the bench said.

The Election Commission of India (ECI) told the court that the guidelines clearly state that if someone has not submitted the enumeration form, their name is liable to be deleted from the roll.

“In case an elector is unable to submit their filled-in enumeration form within the specified time, they may fill Form 6 along with declaration for inclusion during the claims and objection period...Ordinarily, Form 8 is by a person whose name is there in the draft roll, but says I am no longer residing here and I have shifted. He submits his Form 8 because it refers to an existing residence on the roll,” the counsel for ECI said.

So, if you are not there on the draft roll only, what entry am I transferring under Form 8? I can’t transfer a non-existent entry,” the counsel for ECI added.

Right to vote, heart of our democracy, is at great peril, says Rohinton Nariman

Express News Service
New Delhi, October 5

FORMER SUPREME Court judge Justice Rohinton Fali Nariman has said that the removal of 90 lakh voters from West Bengal’s electoral rolls in the Special Intensive Revision (SIR) and the way the Election Commission functions put the right to vote at “great peril.”

“So the first issue I wish to flag today is that the common man today under our Constitution, and if I may say so, the heart of our Constitution, the heart of our democracy, which is the right to vote, is at great peril. And I hope that the Apex Court, an institution to which I once belonged, steps in immediately and rectifies these things. You cannot have an Election Commission which functions or malfunctions like this. It’s something amazing,” he said.

Justice Nariman was speaking on the subject “The Constitution and the Common Man” at an event at Rajkumar College in Gujarat’s Rajkot on Sunday. “All of you have seen that in the West Bengal election, 90 lakh voters—it’s an astounding figure—were disenfranchised,” he said. Justice Nariman criticised the Supreme Court for not staying the SIR process. “Now 90 lakh voters getting disenfranchised didn’t somehow move the Supreme Court enough to stay this, so that these 90 lakh voters were out when the Bengal election took place,” he said. On appeals filed by those left out of the voter list, he said: “Out of those who were disenfranchised, some 22 lakh voters actually filed appeals; the others have all seemed to have accepted — they must be poor people, they must be people who don’t have the wherewithal or the access to justice that the others had. And of these 22 lakh voters, some one lakh voter appeals were decided, 93% in favour of the voter.”

“So can you imagine, this was a completely illegal knocking out the common man, the citizens of India, in order that a government obtains what it wants, and the result as you saw in West Bengal was something that upset the government of the day and brought in the government of the centre. These are very disturbing,” he said.

In his speech, Justice Nariman also referred to *The Indian Express* investigation into the “rupture” inside the Election Commission. “We discover now, thanks to *The Indian Express*, that the two gentlemen on either side of the Chief Election Commissioner voiced objections to whatever was going on fourteen times, and somehow or the other they didn’t press their right...to say that look, between the three of us, it’s the majority vote that counts. So the two of us prevail over you, Chief Election Commissioner. They didn’t do that. They were also appointees of the present government,” he said. “Today’s newspaper tells us that there is some patch-up between these three gentlemen, to the nation’s great detriment, where they have come out with some common statement saying, ‘yes, some of the objections you people took seem to be correct, we revert to the old Form 6’, and so on and so forth,” he said.

An investigation by *The Indian Express* reveals that questions were raised on SIR-related issues within the three-member Election Commission (EC) on record at least 14 times over the last 10 months. The objections were raised by Election Commissioners, Sukhbir Singh Sandhu and Vivek Joshi. The issues raised by the two Commissioners included changes to electoral forms and the handling of documents and data related to the revision of electoral rolls. Gyanesh Kumar is the Chief Election Commissioner. Justice Nariman said the Constitution’s design of the Election Commission is part of the problem. “This Chief Election Commissioner had a fixed tenure of five years, could not be removed unless by impeachment in the same manner as a Supreme Court judge, which meant that you required a two-thirds majority in both Houses (of Parliament) present and voting in order to get rid of him. So it becomes difficult to get rid of, but the difficulty is if the appointment is in the hands of the government of the day, then this removal procedure doesn’t add very much to independence or impartiality. This is the first conundrum that we face.”

He said the two other commissioners “are also appointed by the government of the day, and they can only be removed with his concurrence, with the Chief Election Commissioner’s concurrence.”

Rahul says abuse of position by CEC proven, BJP calls claims ‘full of lies’

Express News Service
New Delhi, October 5

AS CHIEF Justice of India (CJI) Surya Kant on Monday said that the Supreme Court didn’t approve “any” of the modifications to Form 6, the Opposition hit out at Chief Election Commissioner Gyanesh Kumar, saying that the court’s observation was “proof that he was abusing his position to help the BJP.”

In response, the ruling party stated that the top court’s notice to the poll panel and the Centre was “democracy in action”.

The Election Commission had included a new section in Form 6 that seeks the status of the applicant’s parents vis-à-vis the last Special Intensive Revision (SIR) of electoral rolls, even though the statutory form itself has not been amended.

Lok Sabha Leader of Opposition Rahul Gandhi said it “is now estab-

lished beyond doubt that Gyanesh Kumar changed Form 6 illegally and unilaterally”, “This is just one more proof of him abusing his position to help the BJP,” he said.

BJP spokesperson Sambit Patra termed Rahul’s post on X “full of lies”, an attempt to “spread anarchy in the country by propagating lies”. “The CJI did not say that the Form 6 was illegal. Rahul Gandhi is lying that the Supreme Court said so... There is punishment for lying,” he said.

Patra alleged that Rahul is trying to “mislead” the country on what transpired during the Supreme Court hearing and on the statement issued by the Election Commission on September 26. “On both the issues, Rahul Gandhi is trying to create anarchy in the country by misleading people so that his (politi-

cal) rallies gain some strength,” he said. The fact that “this entire matter” was taken to the Supreme Court and the apex court issued notices is also “democracy in action”, Patra said.

The ECI called his remarks “misleading”. “The order for SIR in Bihar issued on 24 June 2025 was issued with the unanimous approval of the Commission. This order has been upheld by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in its judgment dated 27 May 2026,” said the ECI.

ONE NATION, ONE ELECTION BILLS

SC gave its nod, but cancelled meet with House panel later: Chairman

Express News Service
New Delhi, October 5

THE CHAIRMAN of the Joint Committee of Parliament on One Nation, One Election Bills, P P Chaudhary, on Monday said the Supreme Court on September 22 wrote to the committee confirming a meeting with its members at 4.30 pm on October 6, before deciding to cancel it on October 1 following objections raised to the proposed meeting.

The committee was scheduled to visit the court for a “local study visit”, including an “informal discussion” with Chief Justice of India Surya Kant and other judges of the Supreme Court “on the issue of simultaneous elections”, as per the programme circulated to the panel members.

Controversy over the proposed meeting erupted last week when Rajya Sabha MP

Kapil Sibal addressed a press conference on October 1, calling the meeting “unconstitutional” as the Bills being deliberated by the committee could someday come before the court for adjudication.

The Supreme Court wrote to the committee on October 1 afternoon, cancelling the meeting, saying the matter was discussed among senior judges and it was felt that it would not be desirable to have the discussion as the Bills may become a subject of judicial review.

Speaking to reporters after a meeting of the Parliamentary committee on Monday, Chaudhary said the meeting was fixed by the Supreme Court after it was suggested by DMK MP and lawyer P Wilson. He said after the Supreme Court wrote to the committee on September 22, fixing the time of the meeting, all members were informed. Law Minister Arjun Ram Meghwal

submitted “material” to the court; the lists of members and officers who would take part in the meeting were also shared.

“After eight or nine days, when some issues were raised publicly, the Supreme Court felt... it would not be proper to have the meeting, so we also informed the members. If it is not appropriate, then we should not pursue the matter,” Chaudhary said. He added that committee of Parliament had interacted with the Supreme Court in the past.

Earlier in the day, the matter is learnt to have come up in the meeting of the committee. Wilson is learnt to have read out a letter explaining the matter. The DMK MP is learnt to have said that he had suggested the meeting with the court in the interest of broad-based consultation. He is learnt to have noted that the meeting could not take place due to political objections.

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT, Mr. KAILASH RAMESH SHETH, the intending member of the society, has applied to the Arun Building Co-op society Ltd. Narayan Dabholkar Rd, Teen Batti, Malabar Hill, Mumbai, Maharashtra 400006, for issuance of Duplicate Share Certificate in respect of Flat No. 8 situated on the second floor of the society's building, respectively alleging that the originals Share Certificates of aforesaid premise have been lost and/or misplaced and the same are not traceable despite diligent search

Any person having claim and/or objection to the issuance of Duplicate Share Certificate to Mr. KAILASH RAMESH SHETH, for the said premise/flat no. 8, may with supporting documents, lodge their claim/objection with the Hon. Secretary of the Society, WITHIN 15 DAYS from the date of Publication of this notice. If no claims are received within the aforesaid period the society shall issue Duplicate Share Certificates for premise/flat no. 8 to Mr. KAILASH RAMESH SHETH, and no claim shall be entertained thereafter.

Sd/-

HON. SECRETARY

Arun Building Co-op society Ltd

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, MEDICAL EDUCATION & TRAINING

2nd floor, Kisan Nagar, Vibhuti Khand, Gokulnagar - 226 010. UP

No. ME-3/817622/2026

Lucknow, Date: 05.10.2026

THE SCHEDULE OF "THIRD ROUND" OF ONLINE COUNSELING FOR UP NEET MDS-2026

In continuation of the time-table issued by Medical Counseling Committee (MCC), New Delhi for counseling of NEET MDS course, the time-table of third round of online counseling for admission of state quota seats of MDS course in Government / Private Dental Colleges / Universities of the State is as follows:

Sl.#	Description	Dates
1.	Date of online registration	06 October, 2026 (From 11:00 am) to 08 October, 2026 (Till 11:00 am)
2.	Date of depositing registration and security Fee	06 October, 2026 (From 11:00 am) to 08 October, 2026 (Till 02:00 pm)
3.	Date of Declaration of Merit List	08 October, 2026
4.	Date of Online Choice Filling	08 October, 2026 (From 5:00 pm) to 12 October, 2026 (Till 11:00 am)
5.	Date of Declaration of result of seat allotment	12 October, 2026
6.	Downloading allotment Letters and Admission Process	13 October, 2026 to 17 October, 2026

All information related to UP NEET MDS 2026 counseling will be uploaded on Departmental website <https://upneet.gov.in> and www.dgme.up.gov.in

Help Line Numbers: 8189011696, 8189011697, 8189011698, 8189011699, 8189011700

BAJAJ FINANCE LIMITED

CIN:L65910MH1987PLC042961

Registered & Corporate Office: 4th Floor, Bajaj Finserv Corporate Office, Off Pune Ahmednagar Road, Viman Nagar, Pune 411014

FIXED DEPOSIT SCHEMES

Rated CRISIL AAA/ STABLE and IICRA/JAA(Stable)

The abovementioned ratings indicate highest degree of safety with regard to timely payment of interest and principal on the instrument.

Rate of Interest per annum valid up to ₹ 3 Crores per deposit (w.e.f. 07th October 2026) for trusts, societies, associations and clubs

Regular Period	Cumulative	Non-Cumulative			
	At Maturity (% p.a.)	Monthly (% p.a.)	Quarterly (% p.a.)	Half Yearly (% p.a.)	Annual (% p.a.)
12 - 23 months	7.00	6.79	6.82	6.88	7.00
24 - 35 months	7.25	7.02	7.06	7.12	7.25
36 - 60 months	7.40	7.16	7.20	7.27	7.40

Table 1

Summarised Financial Position of the Company (standalone) as appearing in the two latest audited balance sheets:

	As at 31 March 2026	As at 31 March 2025
ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,633.88	3,374.41
Bank balances other than cash and cash equivalents	11,471.94	9,040.98
Derivative financial instruments	2,385.16	291.99
Trade receivables	1,575.76	1,416.39
Loans	3,627,259.96	3,04,359.16
Investments	38,074.37	41,761.23
Other financial assets	4,072.73	2,425.92
Total financial assets	4,26,539.80	3,62,576.06
Non-financial assets		
Current tax assets (net)	202.08	404.57
Deferred tax assets (net)	1,930.44	1,844.14
Property, plant and equipment	2,480.61	2,531.51
Capital work-in-progress	61.83	26.74
Intangible assets under development	52.43	12.46
Intangible assets	1,045.69	1,043.94
Other non-financial assets	187.63	1,690.64
Total non-financial assets	5,663.51	5,299.59
Total assets	4,32,203.31	3,67,865.66
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities		
Financial liabilities		
Derivative financial instruments	25.53	-
Payables	-	-
Trade payables		
-Total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises	0.91	1.27
Provisions	1,280.50	1,139.84
-Total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises	-	-
Other payables	0.33	0.42
-Total outstanding dues of micro enterprises and small enterprises	994.95	806.19
-Total outstanding dues of creditors other than micro enterprises and small enterprises	-	-
Total financial liabilities	1,327,028.08	1,110,108.88
Non-financial liabilities		
Current tax liabilities (net)	175.54	54.97
Provisions	473.26	464.27
Other non-financial liabilities	610.59	493.32
Total non-financial liabilities	1,489.39	1,012.36
Equity		
Equity share capital	621.79	124.17
Reserves	1,02,500.55	87,871.51
Total assets	1,03,122.34	87,995.71
Total liabilities and equity	4,32,203.31	3,67,865.66
Contingent Liabilities (Standalone)		
Particulars	As at 31 March 2026	As at 31 March 2025
Disputed claims against the Company not acknowledged as debts	753.90	141.20
IF matters under appeal	110.76	110.76
ES matters under appeal	4.31	4.31
Bank Guarantees	5.14	5.14
651 / Service tax matters under appeal	0.50	0.50
-On interest subsidy	2,552.20	2,422.92
-On additional revenue of credit on investment activity	658.57	630.32
-On interest collected upfront	936.22	874.79
-On reversal of / charges	-	-
-On payment of input tax credit on credit note by the customer	22.99	14.13
-On excess claim ITC & diff in GSTIR vs GSTIR IB	32.42	33.29
-On others	4.77	15.48
Income tax matters	-	-
Appeals by the Income tax department	159.27	72.61

The above text of advertisement has been issued on the authority and in the name of the Board of Directors and has been approved by the Board of Directors at its Meeting held on 30 July 2026 and copy of the same signed by Shri Rajeev Jain, Vice Chairman & Managing Director, who is authorized by the Board to sign on its behalf, has been delivered to the Reserve Bank of India for registration.

Pune

03 October 2026

HOW TO INVEST:

Applications can be made online by visiting www.bajajfinserv.in/need-deposit or Bajaj Finance branches or any of our affiliated partner websites or apps or offices. The amount should be deposited only by net banking or cheque. Cheques should be payable to Bajaj Finance Ltd. A/c: 0067035006738 and crossed Account Payee only. The cheques should be payable at par and CTS compliant. Application form along with the necessary remittance should be sent to the Distributors of the Fixed Deposit Schemes of BFL at any of the BFL branches. For NEFT/RTGS, please use the following details: Beneficiary Name: Bajaj Finance Ltd. Fixed Deposit (C/L Ac. Bank acc no.: 851109) (Numerical account number is replaced by ECR Collection code - 851109), Account type: current account, Bank Name: HDFC Bank Ltd., BSC, HDFC0004989, Bank branch: Kanjur Marg, Mumbai. For any investment queries or information about our affiliated partner websites, write to us at Webcare@bajajfinserv.in or call us on [020-9189901001](tel:020-9189901001)

FORM NO. NCLT 3A

Advertising Detailing Petition

[Pursuant to Rule 35 of National Company Law Tribunal Rules, 2016]

BEFORE THE HON'BLE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL AT AHMEDABAD

CP (CAA) No. 44/NCLT/AHM/2026

IN

CA (CAA) No. 31/NCLT/AHM/2026

Enertech Distribution Management Private Limited

...First Petitioner Company

Transferor Company

...Second Petitioner Company

Transferee Company

(collectively referred as 'the Petitioner Companies')

NOTICE OF HEARING OF THE PETITION

Notice is hereby given that a petition under Sections 230-232 of the Companies Act, 2013 being CP (CAA)/44(AHM)/2026 seeking sanction to the Scheme of Amalgamation of Enertech Distribution Management Private Limited with IRM Energy Limited and their respective shareholders was presented jointly by the Petitioner Companies before the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad Bench and the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad Bench vide order dated 24.9.2026 has admitted the petition and fixed for hearing on **29.10.2026**.

Any person desirous of supporting or opposing the said petition should send to the petitioners' advocate, notice of his intention, signed by him or his advocate, with his name and address, so as to reach the petitioner's advocate not later than two days before the date fixed for the hearing of the petition. Where any person seeks to oppose the petition, the grounds of opposition or a copy of his affidavit shall be furnished with such notice.

A copy of the petition will be furnished to the undersigned to any person requiring the same on payment of the prescribed charges for the same.

Sd/-

Thakkar and Pahlwa, Advocates
(Advocates for the Petitioners)

Date : 05.10.2026
Place: Ahmedabad

Address: 71, New York Tower-A, Thaltej Cross Road, S.G. Highway, Ahmedabad-380054.

IN THE HON'BLE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, AHMEDABAD BENCH

C.P.(CAA)/43(AHM)/2026 IN CA(CAA)/16(AHM)/2026

FORM NO. NCLT-3A

ADVERTISEMENT DETAILING PETITION

[PURSUANT TO RULE 35 OF THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL RULE, 2016]

IN THE MATTER OF SECTIONS 230 TO 232 OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013

AND

IN THE MATTER OF THE SCHEME OF AMALGAMATION OF

EULOGIA INN PRIVATE LIMITED

APPLICANT NO. 1 / TRANSFEROR COMPANY

AND

PRAVEG LIMITED

APPLICANT NO. 2 / TRANSFEE COMPANY

AND THEIR RESPECTIVE SHAREHOLDERS AND CREDITORS

Eulogia Inn Private Limited (CIN: U55101GJ2023PTC143334) a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 2013 and a Private limited company within the meaning of Companies Act, 2013 and having its Registered Office at Plot No. 54/3, T.P. NO. 32, Survey No. 93/1/2/2, Gota, Ahmedabad, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India, 382481

..... Applicant No. 1 / Transferor Company

Praveg Limited (CIN: L24231GJ1995PLC024809) a company incorporated under the Companies Act, 1956 and a public limited company within the meaning of Companies Act, 2013 and having its Registered Office at 18th Floor, Westport, Opp. Montecristo Banquet, Sindhu Bhawan Road, Thaltej, Ahmedabad - 380055

..... Applicant No. 2 / Transferee Company

NOTICE OF PETITION

A Joint Company Petition under Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013, for seeking approval of Scheme of Amalgamation between Eulogia Inn Private Limited (Applicant No. 1 / Transferor Company) and Praveg Limited (Applicant No. 2 / Transferee Company), and their respective shareholders and creditors was presented by the Petitioner Companies on August 26th, 2026 and the said Petition has been fixed for hearing before the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad Bench on November 19, 2026.

Any person desirous of supporting or opposing the said petition should send to the advocate of the Petitioner Companies representative Mr. Dhinal Shah, having his office at A/5, 6th Floor, Wing-A, Safal Profitaire, Opp. Ramada Hotel, off, Prahladnagar Garden, Corporate Road, Prahladnagar, Ahmedabad - 380015; the notice of his intention, signed by him or his advocate, with his name and address, so as to reach the Petitioner's advocate not later than two days before the date fixed for the hearing of the petition. Where he seeks to oppose the petition, the grounds of opposition or a copy of his affidavit shall be furnished with such notice of opposition. A copy of the petition will be furnished by the undersigned to any person requiring the same on payment of the prescribed charges for the same.

For, Eulogia Inn Private Limited,

Sd/-,

Alpekshumar Patel (Director)

For, Praveg limited

Sd/-,

Bijal Parikh (Director)

Date : 05.10.2026, Place : Ahmedabad

epaper.indianexpress.com

