April 17, 2020

BSE Limited
Listing Department
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers
Dalal Street
Mumbai 400 001

National Stock Exchange of India Limited
Listing Department
Exchange Plaza, 5th floor
Plot No. C/1, G Block
Bandra-Kurla Complex
Bandra (East)
Mumbai 400 051

Dear Sir/Madam,

Subject: Company Update

Pursuant to Regulation 30(2) of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, please find enclosed a press release issued by S&P Global Ratings on April 17, 2020.

S&P has affirmed the rating on ICICI Bank at BBB-. The rating outlook has been revised from stable to negative.

This is for your reference and records.

Yours faithfully,
For ICICI Bank Limited

PRASHANT JAGJIVAN MISTRY

Digitally signed by PRASHANT JAGJIVAN MISTRY
DN: c=IN, o=Personal, 2.5.4.20=4dc1d644b96bc1423c251512665e3abbf03e308a45cdcfd18395a767caf966cc, postalCode=400091, st=MAHARASHTRA, serialNumber=978edb48d9759867da601dae5da0a13dda21c0dc237e647eb973253a9bd82ce6, cn=PRASHANT JAGJIVAN MISTRY
Date: 2020.04.17 16:37:04 +05'30'

Prashant Mistry
Chief Manager

Encl: as above

ICICI Bank Limited
ICICI Bank Towers
Bandra-Kurla Complex
Mumbai 400 051, India.

Tel.: (91-22) 2653 1414
Fax: (91-22) 2653 1122
Website www.icicibank.com
CIN.: L65190GJ1994PLC021012

Regd. Office: ICICI Bank Tower,
Near Chakli Circle,
Old Padra Road
Vadodara 390007. India

S&P Global
Ratings

RatingsDirect®

Rating Actions On Some Indian Banks As Operating Conditions Worsen; Government Support Key For State-Owned Entities

Primary Credit Analysts:
Amit Pandey, Singapore (65) 6239-6344; amit.pandey@spglobal.com
Nikita Anand, Singapore + 65 6216 1050; nikita.anand@spglobal.com
Michael D Puli, Singapore (65) 6239-6324; michael.puli@spglobal.com
Deepali V Seth Chhabria, Mumbai (91) 22-3342-4186; deepali.seth@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:
Geeta Chugh, Mumbai (91) 22-3342-1910; geeta.chugh@spglobal.com

- We believe Indian banks face increasing risks stemming from challenging operating conditions following the COVID-19 pandemic. We expect a flattish U-shape economic recovery. Risks remain on the downside and could lead to few banks being downgraded.
- We expect Indian banks' asset quality to deteriorate, credit costs to rise, and profitability to decline. We have revised the economic risk trend for the banking system to negative from stable. Other banking industry scores are not affected.
- While Indian banks are not entering this slowdown from a position of strength, they have been on the recovery path for the past 12-18 months. The economic slowdown will defer the improvement by a year, in our opinion.
- We are revising the rating outlook on Axis Bank Ltd. and ICICI Bank Ltd. to negative from stable. We are affirming the issuer credit ratings on all the Indian banks we rate.
- We expect the stand-alone credit profiles (SACPs) for some public sector banks to weaken over the next 12-24 months. However, our expectation of a very high likelihood of government support underpins the ratings.

SINGAPORE (S&P Global Ratings) April 17, 2020--S&P Global Ratings said today that it had revised the rating outlooks on Axis Bank Ltd. and ICICI Bank Ltd.

to negative from stable. That's because heightened economic risks facing India's banking system may affect the creditworthiness of these banks. In our base case, other private-sector peers such as HDFC Bank Ltd. and Kotak Mahindra Bank have stronger asset quality and would be able to withstand the weakness in operating conditions. We affirmed the ratings on all other Indian banks and their outstanding issuances. At the same time, we revised downward our assessment of the SACP of Indian Bank by one notch to 'bb+'.

RATINGS LIST

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Axis Bank Ltd. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Action

	To	From
Axis Bank Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating	BBB-/Negative/A-3	BBB-/Stable/A-3

Ratings Affirmed

Axis Bank Ltd. (Dubai International Financial Centre Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-
Axis Bank Ltd. (GIFT City Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-
Axis Bank Ltd. (Hong Kong Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ICICI Bank Ltd. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed; Outlook Action

	To	From
ICICI Bank Ltd.		
Issuer Credit Rating		
Foreign Currency	BBB-/Negative/A-3	BBB-/Stable/A-3

Ratings Affirmed

ICICI Bank Ltd. (Dubai Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-
ICICI Bank Ltd. (Hong Kong Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-
ICICI Bank Ltd. (New York Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-
ICICI Bank Ltd. (Singapore Branch)	
Senior Unsecured	BBB-

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Indian Bank * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

Indian Bank
 Issuer Credit Rating BBB-/Negative/A-3

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Bank of India * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

Bank of India
 Issuer Credit Rating BB+/Stable/B
 Senior Unsecured BB+

Bank of India (New Zealand) Ltd.
 Issuer Credit Rating BB+/Stable/B

Bank of India (London Branch)
 Senior Unsecured BB+

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * HDFC Bank Ltd. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

HDFC Bank Ltd.
 Issuer Credit Rating BBB-/Stable/A-3

HDFC Bank Ltd.
 Senior Unsecured BBB-

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * IDBI Bank Ltd. * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

IDBI Bank Ltd.
 Issuer Credit Rating
  Foreign Currency BB/Negative/B

IDBI Bank Ltd. (Dubai International Financial Centre Branch)
 Senior Unsecured BB

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Kotak Mahindra Bank * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

Kotak Mahindra Bank
 Issuer Credit Rating BBB-/Stable/A-3

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * State Bank of India * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

State Bank of India
 Issuer Credit Rating BBB-/Stable/A-3
 Senior Unsecured BBB-

State Bank Of India (Dubai Branch)
 Junior Subordinated BB-

State Bank of India (London Branch)
 Senior Unsecured BBB-

State Bank of India (Tokyo Branch)
 Senior Unsecured BBB-

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Union Bank of India * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Ratings Affirmed

Union Bank of India
 Issuer Credit Rating BB+/Stable/B

We continue to see a very high likelihood of government support for state-owned banks in India. This assessment is driven by their very strong link with, and very important role to, the government. This factor can offset some of the deterioration in the SACPs of these banks. We expect the government to provide capital support, if required, even though it has not specifically allocated any amount in the budget for fiscal 2021 (year ending March 31, 2021).

In our view, the economic risk for Indian banks is rising. Economic conditions have turned adverse due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Drastic efforts to curtail the spread of the novel coronavirus has resulted in a sudden stoppage in economic activity. We have therefore revised our economic risk trend for the banking industry to negative from stable.

S&P Global Ratings has further revised downward its fiscal 2021 GDP growth projections for India to a 1.8%, compared with our earlier expectation of 3.5%. We believe this decline is a cyclical event and India's structural growth story is intact. Economic growth should return to 7% levels in the medium term. In fact, we are projecting a 7.5% growth in fiscal 2022, albeit from a small base. However, a prolonged slowdown, particularly if the recovery in fiscal 2022 is significantly slower or delayed, could adversely affect the banking sector.

We believe the economic slowdown will defer the improvement in Indian banks' operating performance by a year. In our opinion, slippages will remain elevated in fiscal 2021 and recovery of nonperforming loans (NPLs) will be delayed. We forecast the NPL ratio for the banking system will rise by 220 basis points to 11.4%, from 9.2% (estimated) as on March 31, 2020, and credit costs will soar to 3.1% from 2.5%. Steps taken by the government and the central bank should provide some respite. Any forbearances by the regulator may delay recognition of bad loans.

AXIS BANK LTD.
(Primary analyst: Michael Puli)

We affirmed our rating on Axis to reflect the bank's strong business franchise, good capitalization and earnings, stressed asset quality, and sound funding and liquidity profile. The rating does not benefit from external support, such as from the government of India.

The negative outlook on Axis reflects our expectation that heightened economic risks facing India's banking system will likely affect the bank's asset quality and financial performance. Unlike public sector banks, Axis would not benefit from additional government support to offset these economic headwinds. We expect Axis to maintain its strong market position and better asset quality (despite a deterioration) than domestic peers' over the next 18 months.

We would lower the rating on Axis if economic risks in India rise sufficiently for a downward revision of our Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment (BICRA) on India. We could also downgrade the bank if its stressed assets rise significantly beyond the system average over the next few quarters.

We would revise our outlook on Axis back to stable if the economic risks in India abate. We could also change our outlook if the bank's performance, particularly asset quality, demonstrates relative resilience over the next 18 months.

ICICI BANK LTD.
(Primary analyst: Michael Puli)

We affirmed our rating on ICICI Bank to reflect the bank's strong business franchise, relatively high capitalization and earnings, stressed asset quality, and sound funding and liquidity profile. We note these stressed assets have reduced over the past two years. The rating does not benefit from external support, such as from the government of India.

The negative outlook on ICICI Bank reflects our view that the bank is exposed to economic headwinds faced by India's banking system. We expect ICICI Bank to maintain its strong market position and better asset quality (despite a deterioration) than many peers' over the next 18 months.

We would lower the rating on ICICI Bank if economic headwinds increase sufficiently for us to lower our assessment of India's BICRA. We could also downgrade the bank if its stressed assets rise significantly beyond the system average over the next few quarters.

We would revise our outlook on ICICI Bank to stable if: (1) economic headwinds to India's banking system abate; or (2) the bank demonstrates improved asset quality and performance relative to domestic and international peers.

INDIAN BANK
(Primary analyst: Nikita Anand)

We affirmed the rating on Indian Bank based on our view of a very high likelihood of government support for the bank. We revised our assessment of Indian Bank's SACP to 'bb+' from 'bbb-' based on our expectation that the bank's capitalization will deteriorate following its merger with the much-weaker Allahabad Bank. We expect the bank's risk-adjusted capital ratio to remain at less than 7% over the next 12-18 months. We have therefore revised our capital and earnings score for Indian Bank to moderate from adequate.

The negative outlook on Indian Bank reflects our view that there is a one-in-three chance of a downgrade over the next 12-18 months. We believe the deteriorating operating conditions could lead to higher rate of NPL formation over the next few quarters. Moreover, the merger with Allahabad Bank will be an overhang on Indian Bank's asset quality because of the former's sizeable stock of stressed assets.

We will lower the rating on Indian Bank by a notch if the bank's NPL ratio or credit costs increases sharply and we believe they are likely to remain at that level or rise further.

We would revise the outlook to stable if the bank can maintain its asset quality metrics at current levels.

BANK OF INDIA
(Primary analyst: Amit Pandey)

The affirmed rating on Bank of India (BOI) reflects a very high likelihood that the government of India will continue to provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to the bank. BOI has a good deposit franchise and liquidity, with a sizable branch network. The bank's moderate capitalization and weak asset quality temper these strengths.

The stable outlook on BOI reflects our expectation that the likelihood of government support for the bank will remain very high over the next 12-18 months. The rating and outlook on Bank of India (New Zealand) Ltd. will move

in tandem with those on BOI.

We may lower the rating on BOI if our assessment of the bank's SACP weakens by two notches to 'b+'. We could lower the SACP if the bank's capitalization weakens on a sustainable basis. This could be due to deterioration in operating conditions in India as well.

We could also revise downward our assessment of BOI's SACP due to delays in resolution of bad loans, weakness in finance companies, or a protracted economic slowdown in India that leads to sharp deterioration in the bank's asset quality. We also expect a weaker SACP if the bank is unable to sustain the qualitative and quantitative improvements in its funding profile.

We may upgrade BOI if the bank's asset quality and operating conditions improve significantly, a scenario that we view as unlikely over the next 12 months.

HDFC BANK LTD.
(Primary analyst: Nikita Anand)

We affirmed the rating on HDFC Bank Ltd. to reflect the bank's strong business franchise and better funding and liquidity profile than industry peers. HDFC Bank's capitalization is comfortable in our view, supported by strong earnings and regular capital raising to fund above-average growth. The bank's asset quality remains among the best in the Indian banking industry due to its better risk management and portfolio diversity. Overall, we believe HDFC Bank's individual creditworthiness is significantly stronger than the average of the Indian banking sector, reflected in its SACP of 'bbb+'.

The stable outlook on HDFC Bank reflects our view that the bank will maintain its strong market position and favorable funding and liquidity metrics over the next 24 months.

The rating on HDFC Bank is capped by our sovereign credit rating on India (BBB-/Stable/A-3). The rating on the bank will therefore move in tandem with that on the sovereign.

S&P Global Ratings does not rate Indian banks above the sovereign because of the direct and indirect influence that a sovereign has on banks operating in the country.

We could lower the rating on HDFC Bank if we downgrade India. We will lower our assessment of the bank's SACP if the deteriorating operating environment in India leads to sharp rise in NPLs and credit costs for the bank. Outside of a change to the sovereign rating, the bank's SACP would have to drop by three notches to result in a downgrade, which is highly unlikely over the next two years, in our view.

We would raise our rating on HDFC Bank if we upgrade the sovereign.

IDBI BANK LTD.
(Primary analyst: Nikita Anand)

We affirmed our rating on IDBI Bank Ltd. based on a very high likelihood that the government of India will continue to provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to the bank.

The negative outlook on IDBI Bank reflects uncertainty over the sustainability of the bank's capital owing to challenging operating conditions. This will increase residual stress on the balance sheet and delay resolution of large accounts, resulting in continued weak earnings.

We will lower our rating on IDBI Bank by two notches if the bank's capitalization erodes, possibly due to weak earnings and an inability to raise capital. These factors could bring IDBI Bank close to breaching the regulatory minimum requirements for the third time in the past two years.

We could also downgrade IDBI Bank if we believe government support to the bank has weakened. That could happen as government shareholding in the bank declines following a government proposal to sell its stake in the bank and in Life Insurance Corp. of India (which holds 51% stake in IDBI Bank). However, we believe a stake-sale will be tough over the next 12 months, given the sharp correction in equity markets.

We could revise the outlook on IDBI Bank to stable if the bank's operating performance improves. This could be due to: (a) removal of the bank from "prompt corrective action" and lifting of associated restrictions on growth and expansion; (b) higher recoveries from legacy NPLs and lower credit costs, leading to the bank reporting profits on a sustained basis; and (c) capital raising through sale of non-core assets.

For revising the outlook back to stable, we would look for signs that IDBI Bank's capital will not again be at risk of breaching minimum capital requirements. This will most likely occur if the bank's capital reverts to a prudent buffer above minimum regulatory guidelines, and we are confident that this buffer can be retained on a sustainable basis.

KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK
(Primary analyst: Amit Pandey)

We affirmed our rating on Kotak Mahindra Bank to reflect the bank's robust capitalization, strong management, and better asset quality than peers'.

The stable outlook on Kotak Mahindra Bank reflects the view that bank will be able to withstand our current expectations of a deterioration in operating conditions over the next 12-24 months. This is due to its above-industry-average risk management, earnings and capitalization buffers, and improving funding profile.

We would lower the rating if Kotak Mahindra Bank's RAC ratio declines below 10% on a sustained basis in tandem with a sharp deterioration in the bank's asset quality. This could happen due to a prolonged and deeper economic slowdown in India. We could also downgrade the bank if it is unable to sustain the improving trend in funding profile without any offsetting improvement in operating conditions or any other relative credit parameter vis-à-vis peers in India.

An upgrade of Kotak Mahindra Bank is unlikely in the next one to two years because that would require an improvement in the bank's funding profile and operating conditions, as well as a raising of the sovereign credit rating on India.

STATE BANK OF INDIA
(Primary analyst: Michael Puli)

Our rating on State Bank of India (SBI) reflects the bank's strong business franchise, weak capitalization and earnings, stressed asset quality, and superior funding and liquidity profile than peers'. The government of India is a majority shareholder in SBI and we expect capital and liquidity support to be forthcoming under a stress scenario, underpinning the rating.

The stable outlook on SBI over the next 18 months reflects our expectation that the bank will remain the undisputed market leader in India's banking sector and receive capital from the government, if needed. High customer confidence is likely to keep SBI's funding and liquidity strong. Government support for the bank would offset an increase in economic risks to India's banking system.

A downgrade of SBI is unlikely, given government support would offset a weakness in the bank's stand-alone creditworthiness.

We are unlikely to raise the rating on SBI over the next 18 months. A ratings uplift would require the creditworthiness of SBI and the sovereign to improve. This is because of the likely impact that a sovereign in distress would have on the bank's operations, including the ability to service foreign currency obligations.

UNION BANK OF INDIA
(Primary analyst: Nikita Anand)

The affirmed rating on Union Bank of India reflects our expectation of a very high likelihood of government support for the bank. Union Bank's weak asset quality, capitalization, and earnings constrain the rating.

The stable outlook on Union Bank reflects our view that the bank's financial profile will remain largely unchanged following its merger with Andhra Bank

and Corporation Bank. We believe the benefits from the increase in size and franchise following the merger will be balanced by the weak profitability and drag on earnings from provisioning costs over the next 12 months.

We would lower the rating on Union Bank by a notch if the challenging operating conditions deteriorate the bank's asset quality or if Union Bank's funding profile weakens relative to peers'. In our view, the merged entity's ability to mobilize low-cost deposits will be key to it maintaining its funding profile, which could weaken following the merger with banks with a lower current and savings account deposit ratio.

We do not see an upside to the ratings on Union Bank over the next 12 months.

BICRA SCORE SNAPSHOT

	To	From
BICRA	5	5
Economic Risk*	6	6
Economic Risk Trend	Negative	Stable
Economic Resilience**	4	4
Economic Imbalances**	2	2
Credit Risk In The Economy**	5	5
Industry Risk*	5	5
Industry Risk Trend	Stable	Stable
Institutional Framework**	4	4
Competitive Dynamics**	4	4
Systemwide Funding**	2	2

*On a scale of 1 (lowest risk) to 10 (highest risk). **On a scale of 1 (lowest risk) to 6 (highest risk).

RELATED CRITERIA

- General Criteria: Hybrid Capital: Methodology And Assumptions, July 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings , April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Guarantee Criteria, Oct. 21, 2016
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- General Criteria: Ratings Above The Sovereign--Corporate And Government Ratings: Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Timeliness Of Payments: Grace Periods, Guarantees, And Use Of 'D' And 'SD' Ratings, Oct. 24, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Assessing Bank Branch

Creditworthiness, Oct. 14, 2013
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- General Criteria: Criteria For Assigning 'CCC+', 'CCC', 'CCC-', And 'CC' Ratings, Oct. 1, 2012
- General Criteria: Guarantee Default: Assessing The Impact On The Guarantor's Issuer Credit Rating, May 11, 2012
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Criteria | Financial Institutions | Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.