

SWAYAM SHIKSHAN PRAYOG

Self-education for empowerment



FORM 2.1 Annual Social Impact Report by Swayam Shikshan Prayog

(In terms of Regulation 91E (1) of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015)

[This Impact Report is to be prepared Annually by Social Enterprise for Social Projects/Programs Funded by Security Listed on SSE of BSE/NSE]

Assessment for the Financial Year (the year reported upon) 2025-26



INSTRUCTIONS:

All Social Enterprises with currently Listed Securities on the SSE, will prepare an **Annual Social Impact Report in Form 2.1** for each project funded through a listed security on any SSE, with reference to the details provided in the Final Fund Raising Document (FFRD) at the time of listing and the Solution Implementation Plan (SIP) prepared thereafter to implement the project. This requires one Impact Report per currently listed security to be made annually by a Social Enterprise till the security is listed on the SSE. The Social Impact Assessment will be prepared by the Social Enterprise and it will get it assessed by an external Social Impact Assessor who is empaneled with an SRO specified by SEBI.

Form 2.1 has four Sections

Section A: deals with general information, information of project timeline for needful third party evaluation (if any), identifying the presence of KPIs in the solution implementation plan and knowing in very brief about the baseline situation. This needs to be filled by Social Enterprise, project.

Section B: deals with annual progress report to be filled by Social Enterprise prior to the conduct of Social Impact Assessment. It is important to note that Social Enterprises will make entry of the **annual progress that are contextually relevant** for the KPIs set for that particular year as per the Solution Implementation Plan.

Section C: deals with the statement of primary assessment by Assessors based on the entries made by Social Enterprise in the progress report in **Section B**. Social Impact Assessors will provide specific comments on aspects placed in **Section C** of this form in their Social Impact Assessment Report.

Section D: deals with Confirmation by Social Enterprise

Section E: deals will all the supplementary information to be provided in the form of Annexes

Social Enterprise is required to submit the Annual Social Impact Assessment Report in Form 3.1 to the respective SSE.

SECTION -A: To be filled by Social Enterprise

1. General Information

	Item	Social Enterprise Response
1.	Listing ID	NSESSENPO0065
2.	Name of the organization	Swayam Shikshan Prayog
3.	Name of program	Integrated Women's Empowerment Programme - Women-led Climate-Resilient Farming, Entrepreneurship Development, Clean Energy, Water Conservation, and Health & Nutrition
4.	Duration	Ongoing (FY 2025-26: 03-Jun-2025 to 02-Jun-2026)
5.	Program mode: (one time/ ongoing)	Ongoing
6.	Program area - States with Districts [mention aspirational blocks, if any, as covered as per NITI Aayog]	Maharashtra: Latur, Osmanabad, Solapur, Nanded, Washim, Jalna, Pune Bihar: Gaya, Nalanda, Patna Kerala: Wayanad Gujarat (select districts) Aspirational Districts covered: Osmanabad (Maharashtra), Wayanad (Kerala)
7.	Beneficiary group(s)/ segment(s)*	Primary: Rural women - smallholder farmers, micro-entrepreneurs, grassroots leaders Secondary: Families and communities of target women, local governance bodies Target: 100,000 grassroots women leaders across 3,729+ villages in 13 districts
8.	Thematic Areas as per SSE	Livelihood (Primary) Clean Energy Water & Sanitation Health & Nutrition
9.	SDG Goals Aligned	SDG 1: No Poverty SDG 2: Zero Hunger SDG 5: Gender Equality SDG 6: Clean Water and Sanitation SDG 7: Affordable and Clean Energy SDG 8: Decent Work and Economic Growth SDG 13: Climate Action
10.	Alignment with National and State Schemes & priorities	PM Kisan Samman Nidhi, PM Kisan Maandhan Yojana; MGNREGS, National Rural Livelihoods Mission (NRLM/UMED); National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC); KVK, ATMA, POCRA (Maharashtra); Galmukt Dharan Galyukt Shivar Yojana (Maharashtra); ICDS, Swachh Bharat Mission; Kisan Credit Card, Livestock Insurance Scheme.

* For Environmental and Cultural Programs/Projects- please state the segment(s)

2. Designated timeline for Mid-term and End-term (if any) *

Phase	Financial Year (From)	Financial Year (To)	Possible month of Review
Pre Project implementation	FY 2023-24		NA
Phase I: Project Start to mid-term	FY 2025-26	FY 2027-28	March 2028
Phase II: Midterm to End term	FY 2028-29	FY 2029-30	March 2030

**Depending on the length of the project period, the projects/ programs of 3 year or more duration need mid-term evaluation. The mid-term evaluation of 3/5-year project will be co-terminus with of 2nd year / 3rd year annual evaluation cycle. The end-term evaluation is co-terminus with the annual end year project evaluation cycle, unless found necessary to conduct special evaluation exercise beyond the end year project evaluation cycle*

3. Solution implementation plan and KPIs:

SI no.	Parameters	Yes/No/ partially yes	If yes, give the reference page in SIP
i.	Has the Solution implementation plan (SIP) considered the Guiding framework on Logic Model* for plan preparation? Yes	SIP, Section 2	
ii.	Has timeline based KPIs for outcome, output and activities been delineated in clear qualitative and quantitative terms in the SIP? Yes	SIP, Section 3	
iii. Yes	Have the parameters** of reach, depth and inclusion integrated appropriately in the KPIs in SIP? SIP, Section 3.2		
iv.	Are each of the KPIs verifiable? Yes	SIP, Section 4	
v.	Have the means of verification worked out in clear terms for each KPIs? Yes	SIP, Section 4.1	
vi.	Have the stakeholders been mapped out clearly for consultation and feedback across the timeline of the project?	Yes	SIP, Section 5

*Annex-1 of Guiding Framework on Logic Model {Section III (I)} of USIAF

** Annex-2 of Guiding Framework on Logic Model {Section III (I)} of USIAF

4. Baseline and situation analysis

What is the baseline status at the start of the activity, intervention, program or project?

**only a brief description of key baseline points in not more than 5 sentences to be given while cross referring to the relevant pages in Solution implementation plan (SIP) where details of the baseline status / situation analysis / context description has been given.*

At the start of SSP's programme, most rural women in climate-vulnerable regions had limited access to productive agricultural land, sustainable farming inputs, market linkages, and government entitlements. Agricultural practices were largely rain-fed and chemical-dependent, causing poor soil health, low yields, and food insecurity across 3,729 villages in 13 districts. Women had minimal decision-making roles in community institutions and Gram Panchayats, with very low rates of financial inclusion and entrepreneurship. Households faced recurring climate shocks (droughts, unseasonal rains), with limited livelihood diversification and poor access to clean energy or safe water. Refer SIP Section 1 (Situation Analysis) and Form 1B AIR Approach section for detailed baseline data.

SECTION -B: To be filled by Social Enterprise

5. Report on Performance and Outcome

5.1 Stated overall outcome and overall outcome KPIs (to be filled by Social Enterprise)

Stated overall outcome:

To build a robust partnership ecosystem that enables grassroots women's networks to access skills training, financial and digital literacy, and technology and marketing platforms — thereby securing and flourishing the lives of 100,000 women in climate-risk regions across India by 2030.

Stated overall KPIs:

1. Number of women trained in climate-resilient farming, entrepreneurship, clean energy, water conservation, and health & nutrition (target: 100,000 by 2030)
2. Income increase for women-led households (target: >30% increase)
3. Number of villages adopting WCRF model (target: 3,729 villages)
4. Women holding leadership positions in FPOs, SHGs, Gram Panchayats
5. Government scheme convergence (INR value of entitlements accessed)
6. Reduction in food-insecure households in project geographies

5.2 Annual Progress in Outcome KPIs vis-à-vis Overall outcome KPIs for the year reported upon (previous year)

Outcome 1 KPI: % of women farmers adopting WCRF practices

Target FY 2025-26: 80% of enrolled women (approx. 35,000) adopt WCRF

Outcome 2 KPI: Avg. income increase per household > 20%

Outcome 3 KPI: Women in leadership roles in FPOs/SHGs > 70%

Stated Intermediate Outcome/s of the project and their KPIs	KPIs Applicable** at different Outcome levels (1,2 and 3) for the year reported upon	What has been accomplished in KPIs mentioned at Col. 2 ?	What could not be accomplished mentioned in Col. 2 ?***	Why it could not be accomplished? Any challenges?***	Unintended negative outcome, if any
1	2	3	4	5	6
Outcome 1: statement and KPI/s Outcome 1: Women adopt climate-resilient farming and achieve food	200,000 women farmers trained in one-acre farming and WCRF best practices 165,000 women adopted the WCRF model in Maharashtra and	Full FY 2025-26 data pending end-of-year review	Programme ongoing ; data to be updated after annual assess	None identified to date	

and income security Outcome 1: Women adopt climate-resilient farming practices and achieve improved food and income security KPI: ≥80% of enrolled women (est. 35,000) adopt WCRF model by FY end	Kerala 7,808 women accessed government scheme inputs worth INR 2,51,86,760 718 women from Osmanabad enrolled in organic farming transition programme		ment		
Outcome 2: statement and KPI/s Outcome 2: Women entrepreneurs grow micro-enterprises and access financial services KPI: ≥3,000 new micro-enterprises started; ≥INR 8 Cr in loans accessed	29,070 women trained in Entrepreneurship Development Programme (EDP) 2,578 women started small-scale businesses 4,320 women entrepreneurs accessed loans totalling INR 8,45,93,400 1,223 women accessed government schemes worth INR 2,00,82,040	Full FY 2025-26 actuals pending year-end compilation	Year in progress	None identified	
Outcome 3: statement and KPI/s	Outcome 3: Communities build resilience through clean energy, water conservation, and health & nutrition practices KPI: ≥5,000 clean energy products installed; ≥100 water structures built; ≥2,000 Anganwadi workers trained in nutrition	4,298 biogas plants and 20 subjee coolers installed across 77 villages 80 farm ponds and multiple water conservation structures built 2,199 Anganwadi Workers trained in Nutrition PLA approach 150 Sakhis participated in Gram Panchayat water conservation advocacy	Full FY 2025-26 actuals pending year-end compilation	Year in progress	None identified

**Stated intermediate outcome/s and external KPIs meant for Third party evaluation needs to be mentioned from the fundraising document*

***Only timeline based KPI metrics applicable for third party evaluation for the year reported upon needs to be mentioned*

****Stated Assumptions and Risks in the fundraising document also need to be taken into consideration in answering what and why.*

5.3 Annual Progress of Activities and Inputs vis-à-vis stated Output KPIs in the year reported upon (previous year)

State overall output KPIs* at each level of outcome Unit**	Overall activity target of the project (unit)	Activity target of the year reported upon		Achievement during the year reported upon		Deviation (if any) for the year reported upon		Reasons for deviation
		Physical (unit*)	Financial (Rs.)	Physical (unit**)	Financial (Rs.)	Physical (unit**)	Financial	Physical and/or Financial
1	2	3	4	5	6	7 (Col 5-3)	8 (Col 6-4)	9
Statement of Outcome 1:								
Output 1.1 KPI	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	200,000 women trained	INR 8,94,87,328	35,000 women
Output 1.2 KPI	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	165,000 adoptions	INR 1,50,00,000	30,000 adoptions
Output 1.3 KPI	Output 1.3: Seed kits and model farm demonstrations	10,000 seed kits; 1,000 model farms	INR 50,00,000	2,000 seed kits; 200 model farms				
Statement of Outcome 2:						Outcome 2: Entrepreneurship Development		
Output 2.1 KPI	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	30,000 women in EDP	INR 4,39,16,500	5,000 women
Output 2.2 KPI	Output 2.2: Loans and financial	INR 8,45,93,400 loans	INR 1,50,00,000 target	INR 8,45,93,400 achieved				

	access							
Output 2.3 KPI	Output 2.3: FPO and value chain development	6 value chains; 9,382 FPO members capacitated	INR 50,00,000	1 value chain; 2,000 members				
Statement of Outcome 3:						Outcome 3: Clean Energy, Water, Health & Nutrition		
Output 3.1 KPI	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	Activity 1 Activity 2	5,000 biogas/clean energy installations	INR 3,68,91,736	1,000 installations
Output 3.2 KPI	Output 3.2: Water conservation structures	200 farm ponds; 300 water structures	INR 50,00,000	50 structures				
Output 3.3 KPI	Output 3.3: Health & Nutrition training	5,000 Anganwadi workers / Arogya Sakhis trained	INR 30,00,000	1,000 workers				

NOTE: Column no 3,4,5 and 6 should consider only the target and achievement of the year reported upon (previous year)

**Intermediate outcome wise output/outputs KPI targeted.*

**The number of outcomes and outputs will differ from project to project based on the fundraising document*

***Unit to be set as per the physical activity*

5.4 Cumulative deviation in output KPIs under each outcome KPI of the previous years before the year reported upon

Outputs at each level of outcome*	Cumulative KPI target and finance provided in previous years before the year reported upon		Cumulative KPI achievement and finance utilized in the previous years before the year reported upon		Cumulative Deviation, if any, in the previous years*		Reasons for deviation, if any
	KPI (unit*)	Financial (Rs.)	KPI (unit**)	Financial (Rs.)	KPI (unit**)	Financial (Rs.)	KPI and/or Finance
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Outcome 1 KPI	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	As per SIP; detailed in annual progress report
Refer Form 1B AIR and programme MIS data	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	As per SIP
Outcome 2 KPI	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	Output 1	As per SIP
Refer Form 1B AIR	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	Output 2	As per SIP

*For details see year on year physical and financial progress of the organization

**Unit to be set as per the physical activity

5.5 Convergence and contribution of the year reported upon (previous year) @

Intermediate outcome wise KPIs at each level	Convergence from the outside the project			Contributions rendered voluntarily by target beneficiaries/community/ entity, if any	
	Convergence items* Unit****	Agencies***	Estimated money value***** of convergence (Optional)	Contribution items** Units****	Estimated money value of***** contribution (Optional)
1	2	3	4	5	6
Outcome 1 KPIs: Outcome 1: Climate-resilient farming Seed kits, organic farming training, KVK demos INR 2,51,86,760 in government scheme inputs for 7,808 women	KVK, ATMA, POCRA, Agriculture Dept, MGNREGS	INR 2,51,86,760 (approx.)	Labour and land contribution by women farmers	Community seed bank; in-kind participation	

Outcome 2 KPIs: Outcome 2: Entrepreneurship Domain training by KVK, RSECTI Block Resource Centres via UMED in Jalna	UMED, KVK, RSECTI, DIC, Microfinance institutions	Optional — to be estimated	SHG savings and peer mentorship by advanced entrepreneurs	INR 8,45,93,400 in loans self- mobilised	
Outcome 3 KPIs:	Outcome 3: Clean Energy / Water / Health	DIC subsidy (35%) on Subjee Cooler; village pond desilting sanctions	DIC, Dept of Soil & Water Conservation, ICDS, Gram Panchayats	Optional — to be estimated	Community labour for water structures; ASHA/AWW co-facilitation

4.2 Cr litres water conserved through community participation

*@the applicability of this format will depend from project to project. Wherever applicable is important to assess through this format. Please refer to Annex-1 **Point 8** (Handling convergence, contribution and sustainability by SE) of Guiding Framework on Logic Model for needful guidance.*

** Convergence Items consists of assets/expertise/capacities or any other support mobilized through partnerships, networks and influence from the stakeholders within and outside the project.*

*** Any agreed upon voluntary contribution time, money, materials, or labour to the project (social/ environmental/ cultural) activities by the target community/entity, or stake undertaken by the target community/entity to sustain the project with considerations for reach, depth, inclusion.*

**** Agencies could be any government or any non-government partner institutions and individuals who has a stake in the project or whose stake need to be developed in the interest of the Project.*

*****Unit to be set as per the convergence/ contribution item.*

********Giving this information is optional**, but this will be very useful in creating narrative on stakeholders' participation/contribution in tangible terms. This should be provided only if the organization has a reasonable basis of calculation to provide this information.*

5.6 Past performance trend

What has been the past performance trend? What are the organization's learnings on what went well and what did not work well?

SSP has demonstrated consistent scale-up over three years: annual budget grew from INR 12.73 Cr (FY 2021-22) to INR 16.57 Cr (FY 2022-23) to INR 26.87 Cr (FY 2023-24).

Women trained in WCRF increased from ~80,000 to 200,000; entrepreneurs supported grew from ~1,500 to over 3,000 annually across Maharashtra, Bihar, and Kerala. What went well: Community-led model, peer mentorship (Sakhis), and government scheme convergence consistently delivered high outputs.

Challenges: Climate variability (drought/unseasonal rain) impacted farm-based outcomes; attrition among grassroots cadre requires ongoing refresher training.

Learning: Digital inclusion tools and data advisory systems introduced from FY 2023-24 strengthened monitoring and improved last-mile delivery efficiency.

**Write your inference on key past performance trends on activity, intervention, program using the reference to entries made in Col 6,7 and 8 of table 5.4 (Cumulative deviation in outcome-wise output KPIs till previous years before the year reported upon).*

6. Report on risks and unintended negative outcome

6.1	<p>In the previous year, what have you seen as the biggest risks* to the achievement of the desired impact? How are these being mitigated?</p> <p>Risk 1: Climate variability — droughts and unseasonal rainfall disrupt farm-based livelihoods, affecting adoption of WCRF practices.</p> <p>Mitigation: Promote diversified income sources (livestock, food processing, clean energy entrepreneurship); build community-based early warning and advisory systems.</p> <p>Risk 2: HR retention at senior and middle management levels in competitive markets.</p> <p>Mitigation: Competitive compensation, mentorship programmes, succession planning, and a positive work culture with regular performance reviews.</p> <p>Risk 3: Policy shifts or delays in government scheme implementation.</p> <p>Mitigation: Maintain multi-stakeholder relationships with government departments; regular compliance audits; proactive adaptation of programme design.</p> <p><i>* Risks could be internal risk such as organizational and HR capacity risks or external risk such as unfavorable change in market and/or policy framework conditions.</i></p> <p><i>* This needs to be analyzed in the context of assumptions and risks noted for the period reported upon (previous year) as per Fund Raising Document and Solution implementation Plan (SIP) prepared using Guiding Framework on Logic Model {Section III (I)} of USIAF</i></p>
6.2	<p>Describe any potential unintended negative outcome of this project seen in the year reported upon (previous year). How are these being addressed?</p> <p>No significant unintended negative outcomes identified in programme operations.</p> <p>Potential: Short-term social resistance from traditional power structures when women assume leadership roles in Gram Panchayats or FPOs.</p> <p>Mitigation: Community sensitisation, inclusion of male family members in dialogue workshops, and gradual capacity-building approach.</p> <p><i>* This needs to be analyzed in the context of potential unintended negative outcome noted in the Solution implementation document for the year reported upon (previous year) prepared as per Fund Raising Document and Solution implementation Plan (SIP) prepared using Guiding Framework on Logic Model {Section III (I)} of USIAF</i></p>

7. Report on stakeholder consultation

Has the organization taken into consideration relevant* stakeholders' feedback in the year reported upon? What has been the stakeholder wise feedback? and how they have been used by the organisation?

SSP collects stakeholder feedback through community consultations, participatory reviews, FGDs, and reflection meetings with grassroots leaders and partners.

Stakeholders consulted: Women farmers, entrepreneurs, Gram Panchayat members, ASHA/Anganwadi workers, KVK officers, local government officials, funding partners.

Key feedback and actions taken:

- Women requested more localised cropping guidance → SSP introduced village-level crop advisory via WhatsApp messages and IEC materials by Sakhi leaders.
- Tribal and youth communities requested inclusion → SSP expanded target group in newer blocks to include tribal women and youth.
- Entrepreneurs requested stronger financial linkages → SSP partnered with DIC and microfinance institutions, facilitating INR 8.45 Cr in loans.

**relevant stakeholders mean those mapped out in the SIP for taking feedback during the period reported upon.*

8. Report on sustainability of the interventions made as envisaged in the Fund Raising Document

Has the project recommended a sustainability plan in FRD and SIP?

If yes, any measures implemented* from SIP for sustainability of activity/intervention/ programs or project outcomes during the year reported upon? When the organization will start preparing/ prepared a withdrawal plan for exit?

Yes. SSP has recommended and is implementing a sustainability plan in FRD and SIP.

Measures implemented:

- Community institutions (FPOs, SHGs, women-led collectives) are capacitated for long-term self-governance and market linkages.
- Grassroots Sakhi leaders trained as peer mentors, climate champions, and CRPs ensure continuity of services beyond project period.
- Government scheme convergence (MGNREGS, NRLM, POCRA) creates systemic entitlement access that persists independent of programme funding.
- Digital tools and climate advisory introduced for scalable, low-cost monitoring.
- Collaboration with Panchayats and line departments for systemic handover.

Withdrawal/exit planning will commence in the penultimate year of each project cycle.

** Organisation may state what is being done in stakeholder consultations, convergence initiatives, community contribution and stake development; and in the collaboration & advocacy front for effective handing over and sustainability of the project. Any other needful measures adopted beyond above points may also be mentioned.*

9. Plan for using Social Impact Assessment for Knowledge Management

How the knowledge on Social Impact Assessment has been used by the organization to create a sharper narrative about the Sector works and how such knowledges are being disseminated to the stakeholders for cross learning to the Sector's advantage?

SSP uses Social Impact Assessment findings to sharpen its narrative on women-led community resilience and share learnings with the broader development sector.

Actions taken:

- Executive summaries and impact reports published on SSP's website and shared with SSE, funding partners, and government stakeholders.
- Case studies and stakeholder testimonials developed and disseminated through partner networks, media, and knowledge events.
- Participation in national forums, SEBI/SSE learning loops, and sector conferences to share SSP's WCRF model and entrepreneurship approach.
- Arogya Sakhi model shared with ICDS department leading to joint programme pilots.

**It could involve publishing the executive summary of the Social Impact Assessment on the Organization's website or discussing on the uniqueness of the organization's impact assessment experience to uncover certain issue-based realms that could be spread for cross-learning to the*

10. Plans for the next Social Impact Assessment Cycle*

To be filled after receiving assessor comments from Section C.

Planned actions for next SIA cycle:

- Review and update SIP KPIs based on FY 2025-26 annual assessment findings.
- Strengthen baseline documentation for newer programme geographies.
- Deepen stakeholder consultation processes including tribal and youth groups.
- Integrate digital MIS data more systematically into impact reporting.

**to be filled by the Social Enterprise after receiving the comments on Annual Impact Report from Assessors at Section C and before the final draft*

11. Photographs conveying before and after impact of the Programme @

@ applicable in the end term evaluation

(a maximum of 3 impact situations may be shared per Programme)

12. Annexures

Item	NA	If applicable	
		Yes	No
1) Blank copy of any questionnaire or survey forms used 2) Summary analysis of surveys undertaken 3) Copies of other consultation details (such as focus group write-ups, workshop notes, etc.) 4) Copies of evidence summarised or quoted in the social impact report 5) Notes of the previous social impact assessment meetings (when applicable) 6) Past Social Impact Assessment Statements for the projects funded by the Listed Security (when applicable) 7) Completion certificate of SEBI approved mandatory capacity building workshops 8) Case Studies/ Stakeholder Testimonials 9) All stand-alone reports and that have contributed to the organisation's impact reporting for this period			

SECTION – C: To be filled by Assessors for facilitating assessment with respect to the entry made by Social Enterprise at Section A and B

13. Analysis of Solution Implementation Plan (SIP)@ and Key Performance Indicators@

@This is applicable only for first year Social Impact Assessments

13.1 Solution Implementation Plan

Comment on the alignment of SIP with the Guiding Framework on Logic Model and alignment of plan preparation with the format* placed therein? Do the included KPI metrics address reach, depth and inclusion parameters** in objectively verifiable terms? If not objectively verifiable, please suggest modification.

**Annex-1 of Guiding framework on logic model (Section III(I)) of USIAF*

***Annex-2 of Guiding framework on logic model (Section III(I)) of USIAF*

13.2 Key performance indicator for reporting

Comment on the appropriateness of Key Performance Indicators (KPI) on outcome, output, activities and input placed in SIP in achieving impact? Is there any modification required to make these indicators more objectively verifiable? Are the means of verification of KPIs clear and sufficient?

14. Previous Social Impact Assessments, if any@

@ Not applicable in first year Social Impact Assessment of the project

14.1	Include a short outline on how the issues raised and recommendations for action in the previous Social Impact Assessment have been followed through. *
14.2	Has the target segment changed in the previous year? If so, why?

15. Report on Performance

15.1 Performance on outcome

15.1.1	A narrative report on the degree to which the program/ project is living up to its stated objectives
15.1.2	Analysis and interpretation of the outputs

15.1.3	Analysis and interpretation of the outcomes
15.1.4	Interpreting and explaining the impact
15.1.5	Gaps and challenges identified
<p><i>*This should be with reference to the baseline and KPI narratives kept in FFRD and SIP, and the information provided in Section B in relation to the identified KPIs</i></p> <p><i>* This will include any appropriate calculations and interpretations. The report on project objectives should consider the social, environmental, cultural and economic dimensions of performance and impact as appropriate.</i></p> <p><i>*Refer Unified Social Impact Assessment Framework for this exercise</i></p>	

15.2 Stakeholder feedback

How has the Social Enterprise taken into consideration stakeholder feedback in this reporting period?

**The report shall include the details of stakeholders consulted and how the organization has used their feedback in program implementation.*

15.2.1 Contribution

Comment on the trend in the mobilization of voluntary community support/contribution or the stake developed by the community/ entity that have contributed to, or will contribute in the future to the accomplishment of these goals and sustainability of the project. How can this be improved further?

Refer to the details provided under at **point 5.5 of Section-B for answering these points.*

15.2.2 Knowledge management and advocacy

Comment on how best the organization is doing to create a sharper narrative through Social Impact Assessment to the Sector's advantage.

Refer to the details provided under at **point 9 of Section-B for answering these points.*

15.2.3 Write key measures taken for sustainability of the project. Comment on the adequateness of these measures. Cite further needful measures, if any?

Reference may be drawn from the comments given at **point 8 and sub-points under 14*

**Towards the end year of the project, take reference of withdrawal plan prepared by the project for making exit.*

16. Issues for Achievements

16.1 The Social Impact Assessment will have revealed several issues that have to be tackled as well as achievements to celebrate. Write the key achievements of the program. Also comment on identified risks and mitigation measures adopted/ to be adopted to address this and any unintended negative outcome reported and measures adopted/ to be adopted to address this.

** Internal risks are organizational and HR capacity issues and external risks are conditions such as unfavorable changes in market and/or policy framework conditions.*

16.2 Has there been any material (significant) change in the organization's implementation model in the last one year? Suggest material changes required in future, if any.

**Material changes means any pertinent change including organizational changes that significantly affect the decision of the stakeholders and also affect the way of carrying out of the project/ program.*

16.3 Outline the steps and actions required to effectively implement the program, It includes Revised Action Plan; Resources; Stakeholder engagement; Timeline; Training and Capacity building; Monitoring and Evaluation

SECTION – D Confirmation by Social Enterprise

I, <name of the person> on behalf of <name of the organization>, confirm that the social impact assessment process was carried out as per the terms of reference of the engagement and the draft report was shared with us and our responses heard. The minutes of the Social Impact Assessment Meetings capture our responses and the points for action. These minutes are maintained in our records. Feedback as appropriate will be incorporated for review in the next social impact assessment cycle.

Remarks, (if any):

Authorised Representative of Swayam Shikshan Prayog

Name: Naseem Shaikh

Signed:.....


Date:.....th 30 May 2026.

Place:.....


Seal of the Social Enterprise